

**NON-NEGOTIABLE**

©Steven of the family: Kirk  
C/o address

**NOTICE OF INTEREST**

15 JUNE 2018

Your Ref No: 81062989 Meredik DVRE1\_AO D9

RECORDED DELIVERY to CEO, DVLA **JULIE LENNARD** GQ 3144 8040 7GB  
Previous number sent: GK9584 6956 5GB

Recorded for **FRAUD and TREASON** purposes. We do not communicate with a PO BOX.

**COMMON LAW JURISDICTION APPLIES EXCLUSIVELY**

**Notice to agent is notice to principal and notice to principal is notice to agent applies.**

This is NOT a complaint, a query, a enquiry, a request for a statement/agreement and is NOT to be treated as one. By doing so, you will agree to pay £5,000.00 in damages.

Do not refer to me as Sir, Mr/Mrs/Ms or any title, which is a **legal fiction** and is not me. By doing so, you will also agree to pay £5,000,000.00 (FIVE MILLION GBP) in damages.

To CEO, **JULIE LENNARD** Doing Business as CEO DVLA,

Thank you for your reply dated 07 June 2018 postmarked 08-06-2018 received on the 12<sup>th</sup> June 2018 from a Kirsty Meredith. Playing down a LAWFUL SERVED NOTICE into a enquiry.

Regulation 27 is not lawful at all it is legal and **needs my consent**, the only LAWS that actually really matter is common law. ALL ACTS and STATUTES needs MY CONSENT unless you can prove otherwise on your sworn oath in your full commercial liability in affidavit format with the penalty being perjury and a man or woman that takes full liability by actually signing the letter, their sign of nature, unlike the reply I have received no signature no liability.

As quoted in your reply, "The Laws allow the DVLA to release information from the vehicle record to **THIRD PARTY COMPANIES**" which is totally unlawful. You are chopping and changing legal and lawful and have no idea what you are talking about.

Unless someone driving a car/van/lorry/motorbike has committed a real CRIME like murder, rape, robbery, caused loss or damage then NO ONE has any LAWFUL RIGHT to have any access to my personal information or anyone else's for that matter that are related to Acts and Statutes or Regulations. Legal is by consent, LAWFUL isn't those are your god given rights my UNALIENABLE RIGHTS.

Another quote "Information is only released from the driver record where there is a **lawful reason** to do so" Please give an example of your common law, a lawful reason to give third party interlopers my personal and private information?

Data protection laws have I consented to my information to be shared to all the corrupt corporations including the DVLA corporation? Answer NO, NO, NO I have NOT given my consent it has been obtained by trickery/coercion/tacit or otherwise. If it is for parking tickets, speeding fines or petty rules that have been broken like acts and statutes **they all need my consent**, which you do not have unless it is for a lawful reason not for legislation, acts or statutes.

Do you actually know the difference between **legal and lawful** because if you do not you shouldn't be in office.

Disappointed in your reply is an understatement, you have been served with a Notice of non-consent, a Notice of understanding, intent and claim of rights, **NOT REBUTTED** and for some reason in your world you seem to think by sending them back stamped with the complaints team dated 24 May 2018 is a rebut or a reply and to further send myself the living man down the legal system to make a complaint, I deal at the Queen Bench with a court de jure. I clearly state I stand under common law only and it is in **red ink** stating it is **not to be treated as a complaint** which it has been and therefore you have committed a tort against myself and have agreed to pay five thousand GBP in damages. This is unless you care to rewrite your reply under common law without trying to send myself down the legal route that needs my consent and answer all my questions including **DE-REGISTERING MY PRIVATE CONVEYANCES and my driving licence** instead of cherry picking a single question to try and explain legally and lawfully you are allowed to give out my personal details without my witnessed authority ie my consent. Which is removed, I DO NOT CONSENT as previously stated.

Unless you re-address your letter the following commercial lien process will start.

Under Common Law, all men and women are held accountable for their own behaviour, in their personal and private capacities. Which is ABOVE the statutory system you corporations are hiding behind. It is no longer acceptable for individuals to hide behind the statutory system, if they are responsible for causing harm, loss or injury to others, they are guilty of crimes against the people.

#### **NOTICE**

This fiduciary interest in the property, real and moveable, of respondent arises from **wilful neglect of duty**. Surety for the value of this Notice of Distress is the respondent's public indemnity insurance bond and, if this is insufficient, all of the respondent's personal and private property to the value of this notice.

For the avoidance of doubt, claimant intends to make a civil claim valued at present at £5,000.00, five thousand GBP.

Respondent has twenty one (21) days to respond from receipt of this Notice of Interest.

#### **The Commercial Lien Process.**

A common Law Commercial Lien is a process that any man or woman can employ in order to obtain **Lawful** remedy from the actions of another man or woman who have - or have attempted to – **or have conspired to** – damage said man or woman in some way. Such wrongs are known as “torts” and are the subject of Tort Law. This includes “harassment” such as “threats with menaces” which is considered to be 'damages' and also 'defamation of character'. Which is also considered to 'damage a reputation'. The reason for this is simple: Since all are equal under the LAW, then each man or woman has a duty of care to each other man or woman, such as to make sure that – whatever action we take towards each other – we have the Common Law behind those actions, and thus can live together in peace. Abrogating said Duty of Care is a CRIMINAL ACT. And constitutes a tort.

**THE REST OF THIS PAGE IS LEFT INTENTIONALLY BLANK.**

I believe that you have created a tort, or torts against me the living man.

The process comprises:

1. The subject of the harassment (myself in this case), will write a Statement of Truth (Affidavit), **under the penalty of perjury**. This being the case, what I will write will be **“the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth”**, and will thus be **based on first hand knowledge**.
2. You will be sent a copy of this Affidavit, comprising my allegations. You will have to REBUT EACH POINT in order to ward off the possibility of a Lien. You will be given 30 (thirty) days to do so, but I can assure you that you will not be able to rebut EVEN ONE SINGLE POINT. You will need to rebut by means of a sworn Affidavit of your own, written under the same criteria, namely: **From first-hand knowledge, and under the penalty of perjury**.
3. Any points you do manage to rebut will be removed from my allegations, and the remainder kept as my final Affidavit. The result will be Notarised (by a Notary Public) to become my Statement of Truth, which will not only become THE TRUTH, IN LAW – but will also become A JUDGEMENT, IN LAW.
4. That being the case, no hearing will be required. **Because the judgement has already been made by the truth**. (That's Common Law)
5. I will then place a Public Advertisement, warning whomsoever may be concerned, that your creditworthiness is henceforth highly suspect. I will inform Credit Reference Agencies to this effect. I would then be **LAWFULLY ENTITLED TO SIEZE ANY OF YOUR PROPERTY**, up to (and including) the value of the Lien.
6. This process will occur in a LAWFUL manner, because you are given the chance to REBUT IN SUBSTANCE – and I will thus retain entirely with 'clean hands in equity.'

**THE REST OF THIS PAGE IS LEFT INTENTIONALLY BLANK.**

7. As footnotes in bold, I should add that

a. Even if I have made an honest mistake, WHICH YOU FAILED TO REBUT, my mistake BECOMES THE TRUTH, IN LAW. You will not be able to claim 'libel'. 'slander' or such like, because you were given thirty days to rebut the allegations, before public announcement.

b. By failure to REBUT IN SUBSTANCE you would have tacitly acquiesced to my Statements as Truths, in Law.

c. REBUT IN SUBSTANCE does not comprise simply dismissing my allegations. That is mere gainsaying (deny or contradict a fact or statement). "IN SUBSTANCE" means "accompanying with HARD proofs" (in this case, "to the contrary")

8. Being Common Law construct, the only way this Lien can be removed is:

a. By Full Payment ... in which case I will remove it

b. The verdict of a Jury of 12, deciding that the Lien should not have been imposed. But this will require YOU to take ME to a Court De Jure (Common Law Court) ... whereupon I will be able to explain (to said jury) exactly how you took action which comprised the tort(s) against me WITHOUT ANY LAWFUL EXCUSE WHATSOEVER. DO NOT, UNDER ANY CIRCUMATANCES, ASSUME THAT ANY JUDGE CAN REMOVE A LIEN. A JUDGE CANNOT DO THAT, AND JUDGES KNOW THAT (because it is Common Law, NOT A STATUTORY, process)

9. THAT to ensure payment is made, this lien (if remedy isn't used) is from the father to the seventh generation and payment is to be secured through the notary office, solicitors office, commissioner of oaths office named on the Lien.

**This was your last and final warning. If I receive any further communication from you or any of your agents by means of mail, phone calls, or knocks on my door, then I will undertake the Commercial Lien process against those individual(s) to whom this Notice is addressed.**

**THE REST OF THIS PAGE IS LEFT INTENTIONALLY BLANK.**

That is the commercial lien process and will be used should you fail for the second time to address

my **LAWFUL NOTICES IN A PROPER PROFESSIONAL MANNER** then the commercial lien process will start. Trust has well and truly been abused by **ALL CORPORATIONS**, therefore I **DO NOT TRUST YOU AT ALL** which is the reasoning for the fee schedules and written correspondences in affidavit format sworn on your commercial liability with the penalty of perjury was your reply anything like this? Answer **NO**, No it wasn't just a reply with regulations that do not apply under common law whatsoever.

You all have the same duty of care towards one another as I do and knowingly or unknowingly you corporations are aiding and abetting in fraud, extortion, corruption, tyranny, slavery all by deceit and I for one are totally disgusted with all you pathetic corporations that lie, cheat, steal and murder all for a piece of worthless paper a promissory note, a promise to pay an I.O.U.

I would like to draw your attention to the following documents that are enclosed:

- i. **INDICTMENT (A)**
- ii. **A COURTSEY NOTICE**

These are extremely serious documents and I suggest you also take them seriously this corruption is over **men and women** like myself will not tolerate this pathetic behaviour from anyone. No copies of the Notices that have previously been served will be sent but can be purchased for £20 per copy as you should have kept the Lawful Notices as you will have nothing to defend your case with. The returned stamped Notices which the DVLA have sent back which are a **SERVRED LAWFUL DOCUMENT** will be kept and used as evidence against you.

These are the reasons which are totally indisputable/undeniable and all corporations only have their greedy selves to blame.

I have the right to contract or not to contract and I chose **NOT TO CONTRACT** which is unlimited and my **UNALIENABLE RIGHT**.

**THE REST OF THIS PAGE IS LEFT INTENTIONALLY BLANK.**

In sincerity and honour, without ill-will, prejudice, frivolity, or vexation with clean hands in equity.

Yours sincerely,

By reasonable Accommodation

Crown: DOE (De Facto)\_\_\_\_\_

Sovereign: John-Henry [CD](De Jure)\_\_\_\_\_

Private Persons Personal Representative [AB]: Christian\_\_\_\_\_

To CEO DVLA, **JULIE LENNARD**  
LONGVIEW ROAD, MORRISTON,  
SWANSEA  
SA6 7JL

Yours sincerely,

By:

By: Sovereign ©Steven of the family: Kirk  
Authorized Agent and Representative for STEVEN KIRK™  
No assured value, No liability. Errors & Omissions Excepted.  
All Unalienable Rights Reserved.

WITHOUT RECOURSE – NON-ASSUMPSIT

*Calls maybe recorded*

*End of document.*

Seal	Thumb Print
------	-------------



STEVEN KIRK™

**THE REST OF THIS PAGE IS LEFT INTENTIONALLY BLANK.**