

NON-NEGOTIABLE

Steven of the family: Kirk
C/o

NOTICE OF INTEREST

15 JUNE 2018

RECORDED DELIVERY to BRISTOW & SUTOR, GQ 3144 8041 5GB
Previous numbers sent, GK 9584 6904 9GB

Recorded for **FRAUD and TREASON** purposes. We do not communicate with a PO BOX.

COMMON LAW JURISDICTION APPLIES EXCLUSIVELY

Notice to agent is notice to principal and notice to principal is notice to agent applies.

This is NOT a complaint, a query, an enquiry, a request for a statement/agreement and is NOT to be treated as one. By doing so, you will agree to pay £5,000.00 five thousand GBP in damages. Do not refer to me as Sir/Mr/Mrs/Ms or any title, which is a **legal fiction** and is not me. By doing so, you will also agree to pay £5,000,000.00 FIVE MILLION GBP in damages.

To **MR ANDREW MICHAEL ROSE, MR MICHAEL LAURENCE BYNG,
MR RICHARD JAMES SUTOR,**

Find enclosed the **SECOND** letter delivered by an interloper which your company has been TOLD that your Irrevocable Implied Rights Of Access has been removed to which the Bill for trespass as per the Notice of implied rights of access that you have been served with and carries a (£9,950.00) nine thousand nine hundred and fifty GBP fee and the Bill is enclosed for payment in seven days. Which is sent back to you **Bristow & Sutor UNOPENED. NO CONTRACT, NO CONSENT ARE YOU STUPID?** It also is proof of your company trespassing.

Your interloper stood at my door for a **few minutes READING the Irrevocable Implied Rights Of Access** and decided for some strange reason it didn't apply to him so he continued to lightly knock on my door as wait a few seconds for me to answer which I wouldn't under any circumstance before he posted your offer of a contract through my letter box which is unwanted, un-asked for or required and there most certainly is no consent, no joinder and no contract. But you idiots continue like nothing has happen and the Lawful Notices **Bristow & Sutor** have been served with don't mean a thing. We shall see.

Furthermore another Bill for five million GBP (£5,000,000.00) is also enclosed as per your tacit agreement and it clearly states the fee should you use the legal fiction name which **ON AND FOR THE RECORD IS FRAUD.**

It's not your day is it also another Bill for copyright infringements to the value of one million GBP (£1,000,000.00) and I suggest you take this extremely seriously unlike the fraud you dishonest corrupt corporations are doing.

You have to be stupid to ignore what has been served on your company because it won't be the company I will lawfully sue it will be the CEO's and Directors named herein. Notice to agent is

notice to principal and notice to principal is notice to agent and because **MR SIMON SUTOR** left to run a **MASONIC CHARITY** the Notices that were served applies.

Below is a commercial lien process which I will be using should you not pay your Bills as per your own accord.

Under Common Law, all men and women are held accountable for their own behaviour, in their personal and private capacities. Which is ABOVE the statutory system you corporations are hiding behind. It is no longer acceptable for individuals to hide behind the statutory system, if they are responsible for causing harm, loss or injury to others, they are guilty of crimes against the people.

NOTICE

This fiduciary interest in the property, real and moveable, of respondent arises from **wilful neglect of duty**. Surety for the value of this Notice of Distress is the respondent's public indemnity insurance bond and, if this is insufficient, all of the respondent's personal and private property to the value of this notice.

For the avoidance of doubt, claimant intends to make a civil claim valued at present at £6,009,950.00 six million nine thousand nine hundred and fifty GBP.

Respondent has twenty one (21) days to respond from receipt of this Notice of Interest.

The Commercial Lien Process.

A common Law Commercial Lien is a process that any man or woman can employ in order to obtain **Lawful** remedy from the actions of another man or woman who have - or have attempted to – **or have conspired to** – damage said man or woman in some way. Such wrongs are known as “torts” and are the subject of Tort Law. This includes “harassment” such as “threats with menaces” which is considered to be 'damages' and also 'defamation of character'. Which is also considered to 'damage a reputation'. The reason for this is simple: Since all are equal under the LAW, then each man or woman has a duty of care to each other man or woman, such as to make sure that – whatever action we take towards each other – we have the Common Law behind those actions, and thus can live together in peace. Abrogating said Duty of Care is a **CRIMINAL ACT**. And constitutes a tort.

I believe that you have created a tort, or torts against me the living man.

The process comprises:

1. The subject of the harassment (myself in this case), will write a Statement of Truth (Affidavit), **under the penalty of perjury**. This being the case, what I will write will be **“the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth”**, and will thus be **based on first hand knowledge**.
2. You will be sent a copy of this Affidavit, comprising my allegations. You will have to **REBUT EACH POINT** in order to ward off the possibility of a Lien. You will be given 30 (thirty) days to do so, but I can assure you that you will not be able to rebut **EVEN ONE SINGLE POINT**. You will need to rebut by means of a sworn Affidavit of your own, written under the same criteria, namely: **From first-hand knowledge, and under the penalty of perjury**.
3. Any points you manage to rebut will be removed from my allegations, and the remainder kept as my final Affidavit. The result will be Notarised (by a Notary Public) to become my

Statement of Truth, which will not only become THE TRUTH, IN LAW – but will also become A JUDGEMENT, IN LAW.

4. That being the case, no hearing will be required. **Because the judgement has already been made by the truth.** (That's Common Law)
5. I will then place a Public Advertisement, warning whomsoever may be concerned, that your creditworthiness is henceforth highly suspect. I will inform Credit Reference Agencies to this effect. I would then be **LAWFULLY ENTITLED TO SIEZE ANY OF YOUR PROPERTY**, up to (and including) the value of the Lien.
6. This process will occur in a **LAWFUL** manner, because you are given the chance to **REBUT IN SUBSTANCE** – and I will thus retain entirely with 'clean hands in equity.'
7. As footnotes in bold, I should add that
 - a. Even if I have made an honest mistake, **WHICH YOU FAILED TO REBUT**, my mistake **BECOMES THE TRUTH, IN LAW**. You will not be able to claim 'libel'. 'slander' or such like, because you were given thirty days to rebut the allegations, before public announcement.
 - b. By failure to **REBUT IN SUBSTANCE** you would have tacitly acquiesced to my Statements as Truths, in Law.
 - c. **REBUT IN SUBSTANCE** does not comprise simply dismissing my allegations. That is mere gainsaying (deny or contradict a fact or statement). “**IN SUBSTANCE**” means “accompanying with **HARD** proofs” (in this case, “to the contrary”)
8. **Being Common Law construct, the only way this Lien can be removed is:**
 - a. By Full Payment ... in which case I will remove it
 - b. The verdict of a Jury of 12, deciding that the Lien should not have been imposed. But this will require **YOU** to take **ME** to a Court De Jure (Common Law Court) ... whereupon I will be able to explain (to said jury) exactly how you took action which comprised the tort(s) against me **WITHOUT ANY LAWFUL EXCUSE WHATSOEVER**. **DO NOT, UNDER ANY CIRCUMATANCES, ASSUME THAT ANY JUDGE CAN REMOVE A LIEN. A JUDGE CANNOT DO THAT,AND JUDGES KNOW THAT** (because it is Common Law, **NOT A STATUTORY**, process)
9. **THAT** to ensure payment is made, this lien (if remedy isn't used) is from the father to the seventh generation and payment is to be secured through the notary office, solicitors office, commissioner of oaths office named on the Lien.

This was your last and final warning. If I receive any further communication from you or any of your agents by means of mail, phone calls, or knocks on my door, then I will undertake the Commercial Lien process against those individual(s) to whom this Notice is addressed.

THE REST OF THE PAGE IS LEFT INTENTIONALLY BLANK.

Find enclosed further evidence of all claims

- i. **INDICTMENT (A)**

- ii. BIRTH CERTIFICATE FRAUD ; CRSS; BIRTH CERTIFICATE FRAUD; CLAUSULA REBUS SIC STANTIBUS.
- iii. A PHOTO OF THE SECOND INTERLOPER TRESPASSING
- iv. COURTSEY NOTICE
- v. A COPY OF MY UNDERSTANDING, INTENT AND CLAIM OF RIGHTS.
- vi. BILLS AS PER YOUR OWN ACCORD.

I suggest you take a very close look at the INDICTMENT (A) because this will have your companies name on it along with the CEO's and Directors named herein. I do not tolerate this behaviour from any one especially corrupt corporations playing at law. I only deal with the Queens Bench common law.

In sincerity and honour, without ill-will, prejudice, frivolity, or vexation with clean hands in equity.

Yours sincerely,

By reasonable Accommodation

Crown: DOE (De Facto) _____

Sovereign: John-Henry [CD](De Jure) _____

Private Persons Personal Representative [AB]: Christian _____

To: **MR ANDREW MICHAEL ROSE,**
MR MICHAEL LAURENCE BYNG,
MR RICHARD JAMES SUTOR,
 BARTLEET ROAD
 WASHFORD
 REDDITCH
 WORCESTERSHIRE
 B98 0FL
 Company No: 01431688

Yours sincerely,

By:

By: Sovereign ©Steven of the family: Kirk
 Authorized Agent and Representative for STEVEN KIRK™
 No assured value, No liability. Errors & Omissions Excepted.
 All Unalienable Rights Reserved.

WITHOUT RECOURSE – NON-ASSUMPSIT

Calls maybe recorded

End of document.

Seal	Thumb Print



STEVEN KIRK™