

Affiant: ©Steven: of the family Kirk

Sworn on: \_\_\_\_\_

**Affidavit of Obligation Commercial Lien**

**©Steven: of the family Kirk**

**In relation to the individuals who accept liability for the Names:**

**“MR NATHAN BOSTOCK; CEO,**

**MRS SHRITI VADERA; CHAIRMAN,**

**MR JUAN INCIARTE; DEPUTY CHAIRMAN,**

**MRS ANA BOTIN; NON-EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR,**

**MR ALAIN DROMER; INDEPENDENT NON-EXECUTIVE  
DIRECTOR,**

**MR SCOTT WHEWAY SENIOR INDEPENDENT NON-  
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR,**

**MR CHRIS JONES; INDEPENDENT NON-EXECUTIVE  
DIRECTOR,**

**MRS GENEVIEVE SHORE; INDEPENDENT NON-EXECUTIVE  
DIRECTOR,**

**MR ED GIERA; INDEPENDENT NON-EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR,**

**MRS ANNEMARIE DURBIN; INDEPENDENT NON-EXECUTIVE  
DIRECTOR,**

**MR GERRY BYRNE; NON-EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR,**

**MRS LINDSEY ARGALAS; NON-EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR”.**

**From herein known as SAN B.O.D**

**Notice to Principal is Notice to Agent; Notice to Agent is Notice to Principal.**

I, ©Steven: of the family Kirk (as commonly called), being the Undersigned, do solemnly swear, declare, and depose:

1. THAT I am competent to state the matters set forth herein.
2. THAT I have first-hand knowledge of the facts stated herein.

3. THAT all the facts stated herein are true, correct, and certain, admissible as evidence, and if called upon as a witness, I will testify to their veracity.
4. THAT the eternal, unchanged principles of Law are:
  - a) A WORKMAN IS WORTHY OF HIS HIRE. Exodus 20:15; Lev 19:13; Mat 10:10 Luke 10:7; II Tim 2:6 Legal maxim: "It is against equity for freemen not to have the free disposal of their own property".
  - b) ALL ARE EQUAL UNDER THE LAW. "Equality before the law" Exodus 21:23-25; Lev 24:17-21; Deut 1:17, 19-21; "No one is above The Law".
  - c) IN COMMERCE TRUTH IS SOVEREIGN. Exodus 20:16; Ps, 117:2; John 8:32; II Cor 13:8. Truth Rules, Your Word is your Bond.
  - d) TRUTH IS EXPRESSED BY FORM OF AN AFFIDAVIT. Lev 5:4-5; Lev 19:11-13; Num, 30:2; Mat 5:33; James 5:12.
  - e) AN UNREBUTTED AFFIDAVIT STANDS AS TRUTH IN COMMERCE. 12 Pet, 1:25; Heb 6:13-15; Affidavit is the highest form of truth. Legal Maxim "He who fails to assert his rights has none".
  - f) AN UNREBUTTED AFFIDAVIT BECOMES THE JUDGMENT IN COMMERCE. Heb 6:16-17.
  - g) IN COMMERCE FOR ANY MATTER TO BE RESOLVED MUST BE EXPRESSED. Heb 4:16; Phil 4:6; Eph 6:19-21. Legal Maxim, " He who fails to assert his right has none".
  - h) HE WHO FIRST LEAVES THE FIELD OF BATTLE LOSES BY DEFAULT. Mat 10:22. Legal Maxim, "He who does not repel a wrong when he can, occasions it".
  - i) SACRIFICE IS THE MEASURE OF CREDIBILITY. NO WILLINGNESS TO SACRIFICE = NO LIABILITY, RESPONSIBILITY, AUTHORITY OR MEASURE OF CONVICTION  
(Act 7 life/death of Stephen)  
Legal Maxim "He who bears the burden ought also to derive the benefits".
  - j) SATISFACTION OF A LIEN/CLAIM, In commerce a lien or claim can be satisfied in any one of three ways. Gen 2-2; Mat 4.  
(A) By someone rebutting your affidavit, with another affidavit of his own, point for point, until the matter is resolved as to whose correct. In case of non-resolution  
(B) You convene a sheriff's common law jury, based on the seventh amendment, concerning a dispute involving a claim of more than £20  
(C) The only other way to satisfy a lien is to pay it.

5. THAT Commercial processes (including this Affidavit and the required responses to it) ARE NON-JUDICIAL and pre-judicial because:
  - I. No judge, court, government or any agencies thereof, or any other third parties whatsoever, can abrogate anyone's Affidavit of Truth; and
  - II. Only a party affected by an Affidavit can speak and act for himself and is solely responsible for responding with his own Affidavit of Truth, which no one else can do for him.
6. THAT the lawful seizure, collection, and transfer of ownership of money or property must be effected by means of a valid Commercial Lien.
7. THAT I am not the creation or chattel property of any person or any government agency, corporation, private company whatsoever. I am not under any obligation whatsoever to any governmental agency, state or federal (i.e. union), or any of their self-passed laws, statutes, regulations or policies.
8. THAT any and all of the various papers, documents, adhesion contracts, or "agreements" I may have signed with any government agency, private company, corporations or entity or any others that might be construed to indicate a conclusion contrary to my herein-below assertions were made, signed by me on the basis of mistake due to lack of full disclosure creating a deliberate lack of full knowledge, a deliberate action of fraud, non-disclosure, concealment of material fact, and misrepresentation. Such action thereby creates a stressful situation of duress and intimidation, vitiating all documents by such action of fraud.
9. THAT it is the sincerest belief and spiritual conviction of this Affiant that slavery and peonage are immoral, are violations of the First Precept of Commercial Law ("a workman is worthy of his hire"), that fraud, misrepresentation, nondisclosure, intimidation, deceit, concealment of material fact, lying, and treachery are morally wrong.
10. THAT I have absolutely no desire whatsoever to be a "client" (slave) of any governmental agency, state or federal (i.e. union), or any of their Principals, or the "United Kingdom," or to incur any debts or obligations to said entities for whatever "benefits" said entities might purpose to provide or seek to provide to this Affiant, or be directed by, subject to, or accountable to any parties other than my own conscience and best judgement for the purpose of preserving inviolate my unalienable/inalienable indefeasible rights to life, liberty, freedom and property while engaging in the honourable, productive, and non-harmful activities of my life.
11. THAT I, ©Steven: of the family Kirk, am the sole and absolute owner of myself, my body, and my estate, and possess unconditional, allodial, sovereign title thereto, and that I abjure, renounce, forsake, and disavow utterly and absolutely now and forever all presumptions of power, authority, or right by any governmental agency, private companies, corporations, its Principals, over the rights, life, liberty, freedom or property of this Affiant from whatever source presumed or derived.
- 12.

13. THAT I, the Affiant, am NOT a Legal Fiction Person (as defined in a Law Dictionary) “MR STEVEN KIRK” as being a Corporate Entity (incorporated or non-incorporated) or some other kind of Partnership, BUT INSTEAD a living breathing, sovereign, flesh and blood man with a living soul, with a distinct Mind that is capable of possessing personal knowledge commonly called ©Steven: (of the family Kirk, when necessary to distinguish my Clan).
14. i. THAT on 09/10/2017 I replied to a letter received from Santander from **Thomas Anderson** on 02/10/2017 referring to a debt. Evidenced by receipt of postage GK 803647935 GB and hand delivered to Beaumont Leys branch. The letter to Santander was, a request for proof of claim by means of a statement that I lawfully owe a debt and is not to be treated as a complaint and do not refer my person as to a legal fiction. Santander entered the tacit agreement which agrees to pay all fee schedules on Santanders own accord.
- ii. THAT on 09/10/2017 I replied to a letter received from Santander from **Pam Speed** on the 03/11/2017 referring to refunded fees. Evidenced by receipt of postage GK 803647949 GB and hand delivered to Beaumont Leys branch. The letter to Santander was, a request for proof of claim by means of a statement that I lawfully owe a debt and is not to be treated as a complaint and do not refer my person as to a legal fiction.
- iii. THAT on 01/11/2017 I replied to a letter received from Santander from **Mr G P Rymer** on the 19/10/2017 referring to the removal of my banking facilities. Evidenced by receipt of postage GK 746806260 GB and hand delivered to Beaumont Leys branch. The letter to Santander was, the second request for proof of claim by means of a statement that I lawfully owe a debt and is not to be treated as a complaint and do not refer my person as to a legal fiction.
- iv. THAT on 01/11/2017 I replied to a letter received from Santander from **Thomas Anderson** on the 20/10/2017 referring sympathetically about the debt Santander have created. Evidenced by receipt of postage GK 746806256 GB and hand delivered to Beaumont Leys branch. The letter to Santander was, the second request for proof of claim by means of a statement that I lawfully owe a debt and is not to be treated as a complaint and do not refer my person as to a legal fiction.
- v. THAT on 15/11/2017 I replied to a letter received from Santander from **Mr G P Rymer** on the 08/11/2017 referring to the unpaid unarranged overdraft. Evidenced by receipt of postage GK 841102774 GB and GK 841102757 GB and also hand delivered to Beaumont Leys branch. The letter to Santander was, the third request for proof of claim by means of a statement that I lawfully owe a debt and is not to be treated as a complaint and do not refer my person as to a legal fiction, no rebuttal in substance from Santander.
- vi. THAT on 23/11/2017 I replied to a letter received from Santander from **Mr G P Rymer** on the 08/11/2017 referring to the unpaid unarranged overdraft. Evidenced by receipt of postage GK 841102690 GB and GK 841102743 GB and also hand delivered to Beaumont Leys branch. The letter to Santander was, the forth request for proof of claim by means of a statement that I lawfully owe a debt and is not to be treated as a complaint and do not refer my person as to a legal fiction, no rebuttal in substance from Santander.

vii. THAT on 04/12/2017 I replied to a letter received from Santander from **Jacqui Chrastina** on the 28/11/2017 now referring my request as a complaint. Evidenced by receipt of postage hand delivered to Beaumont Leys branch only. The letter to Santander was, the fifth request for proof of claim by means of a statement that I lawfully owe a debt and is not to be treated as a complaint and do not refer my person as to a legal fiction, no rebuttal in substance. Included with the letter was a lawful document a irrevocable removal of implied rights of access and 21 BILLS that required settlement.

viii. THAT on 16/01/2018 Notice of First and Final Warning of Commercial Lien was served to SAN B.O.D, and was received by the aforementioned respondent's, on 17/01/2018 hand delivered to Beaumont Leys and evidenced by signature of receipt GK 841102730 GB signed/scribbled for on the 18/01/2018, The letter to Santander was, the sixth request for proof of claim by means of a statement that I lawfully owe a debt and is not to be treated as a complaint and do not refer my person as to a legal fiction, no rebuttal in substance from Santander.

ix. THAT on the 02 February 2018 I received a letter from Santander from a Karen Ball and a scribble for a signature and reference number MIRO 7622261 replying on behalf of SAN B.O.D dated 1 February 2018. Even though I stated on my previous letter dated 16 January 2018 Santander have until the 31 January 2018 its all good because it is Santander we can and do do as we please. Santander would not give someone over 24 hours grace to repay a mortgage direct debt even though the mortgage has already been paid off from the customers trust account but like everything else Santander double bouble everything and make out people still owe a debt. Santander create money out of thin air by means of deception.

x. THAT I claim Santander have continued to Harass and threaten me by menace under duress with all the **letters** including countless phone calls and text messages, I had to change my mobile number to stop Santander from contacting me on my phone so all harassment and threats would cease, harassment and intimidation that was totally unnecessary. Ceased as of the 16 January 2018 but Santander failed to pay their BILLS.

xi. THAT I provided my proof of claim, my evidence, which Santander entered on their own accord and was billed as per the fee schedule which Santander agreed to and here we are, yet Santander ignores these laws "common law" which are above all laws in this country.

xii. THAT I, Steven: of the family Kirk, the Undersigned, herewith and herein demand of "SAN B.O.D" to furnish answers to the following:

- a. THAT if "SAN B.O.D", believes their actions against me carry the force of Law behind them, let them show the Common Law precedent.
- b. Why did Santander not (i) stop referring my person to a legal fiction when I asked politely but with consequences should Santander chose to continue to do so? (ii) Santander knew the consequences, why continue?, (iii) Why try and turn my request of a statement that I lawfully owe a debt into a complaint? Why?

c. What Authority Does SAN B.O.D and their agents/representatives claim to have over me, as no man has the right to tell another man what to do and to keep continually harassing me, threatening me and trying to extort money out of me by menace under duress when I am not liable, Why?

15. You have and still are committing a Tort against me, a civil wrong SAN B.O.D you are Tortfeasor's, every man woman and child has a duty of care towards each other and SAN B.O.D are no exception, SAN B.O.D have tried to cause me harm and loss; financially/emotionally/mentally/by public humiliation/defamation With the constant hounding, harassment and bombardment of threats via cold calling/letters/text/phone calls. Also failing to pay your bills as per Santanders own agreement.
16. I have repeatedly requested that Santander treat this as a request for a statement that I lawfully owed a debt, not to be referred to a legal entity by the use of a title, Mr/Mrs/Ms are titles and the use of all caps in the name. Could you explain why SAN B.O.D haven't done either?
17. THAT all parties who act against this Affiant on their alleged basis must produce the Commercial Affidavits of TRUTH, sworn by the claimants to be "true, correct, and complete (certain)," which prove the origin and foundation of their claims and include providing the contract(s) or agreement(s) **with the signature of this Affiant thereon** wherein this Affiant has knowingly, intentionally, and voluntarily, in full legal and lawful capacity, agreed to waive or surrender rights to "SAN B.O.D" their Principals, or the "United Kingdom" or agreed to become subject to or the slave or property of said entities in any way or in any jurisdiction whatsoever.
18. In order for a crime to exist, four elements must exist; there must be a defined crime, there must be a victim, and that the victim must have been damaged, and the intent must be established on the part of the accused. Without proof of all four elements, no crime can said to have been committed. In this Affidavit, crimes are defined – namely the unlawful ejection and the lack of Duty of Care, the Affiant is the victim, this Affidavit verifies the damages, and the intent is established at the end of the thirty (30) day grace period, if the respondents fail to rebut (respond to) the wrongs they have been a party to as noted herein.
19. NOTICE is hereby given, and demands made, on
20. "SAN B.O.D"  
  
THAT; ALL properties taken unlawfully, removed in violation of commerce, or otherwise converted, sold, or seized by "SAN B.O.D" or other Parties in collusion therewith, be immediately returned IN FULL VALUE (£) PLUS 10% to the original Owner, the Undersigned Affiant; OR

21. All Parties who proceed to act or assist in said actions, against this Affiant, ©Steven: of the family Kirk, without thorough, verifiable, point-by-point rebuttal of each and every point set forth in this Affidavit shall be immediately charged with criminal fraud, theft, conspiracy of extortion, theft and fraud, and commercial liens shall be placed against all their real and personal properties (defined crimes: criminal conspiracy, robbery, misprision of felony, conspiracy against the rights of peoples, extortion, fraud and false statements, and other such crimes as are related to issues of RACKETEERING plus such Constitutional violations not listed combined and described simply as TREASON); and
22. All court costs and legal fees relating to this instant case shall be paid by those who have drawn the Undersigned Affiant ©Steven: of the family Kirk into this instant matter.
23. THAT failure to respond as herein required to this Affiant, within the herein a prescribed time of thirty (30) days will be deemed by this Affiant to invoke the doctrine of acquiescence and admission, to recover, in commerce, for damages, penalties, interest and costs.
24. THAT this Commercial Affidavit, Notice and Warning of Commercial Grace, is the ONE AND ONLY such Notice and Warning. If all actions are not abated within thirty (30) days, or if at any time in the future any actions are reinstated, it shall be considered a wilful disregard for this Notice and Warning, and such shall engender the immediate filing of Criminal Complaints (Affidavits of Information) and Commercial Liens (Affidavits of Obligation) against all parties involved
25. THAT the foundation of Commercial Law, being based on certain eternally just, valid, and moral precepts, has remained unchanged for at least six (6) millennia. Said Commercial Law forms the underpinnings of Western Civilization if not all Nations, Law, and Commerce in the world, is NON-JUDICIAL, and is prior and superior to, the basis of, and cannot be set aside or overruled by, the statutes of any governments, legislatures, quasi-governmental agencies, or courts. It is therefore an inherent obligation on all Authorities, Officials, Governments, Legislatures, Governmental or Quasi-governmental Agencies, Courts, Judges, Attorneys, and all aspects and Agents of all Law Enforcement Agencies to uphold said Commercial Law, without which said entities are violating the just basis of their alleged authority and serving to disintegrate the society they allegedly exist to protect.

CONTRACT OF LIABILITY FOR ALLEGATIONS THAT if the Respondent's,  
"MR NATHAN BOSTOCK,  
MRS SHRITI VADERA,  
MR JUAN INCIARTE,  
MRS ANA BOTIN,  
MR ALAIN DROMER,  
MR SCOTT WHEWAY,  
MR CHRIS JONES,  
MRS GENEVIEVE SHORE,  
MR ED GIERA,  
MRS ANNEMARIE DURBIN,  
MR GERRY BYRNE,  
MRS LINDSEY ARGALAS"

26. THAT only I, the living man involved against my free will in this instant matter, can determine how much stress, and other disturbance I have suffered by virtue of being UNLAWFULLY harassed and threatened with malice under duress over a worthless piece of paper known as a promissory note, and consequently only I am in the position of decide and dictate, my desired compensation, being the amount of **THREE MILLION POUNDS GBP (£3,000.000.00 per respondent)** for all combined attempts to trespass on my absolute sovereignty, that being the sum total demanded by this Commercial Lien *on the individuals who accepts liability for the Names; Are as follows:*

**“MR NATHAN BOSTOCK,  
MRS SHRITI VADERA,  
MR JUAN INCIARTE,  
MRS ANA BOTIN,  
MR ALAIN DROMER,  
MR SCOTT WHEWAY,  
MR CHRIS JONES,  
MRS GENEVIEVE SHORE,  
MR ED GIERA,  
MRS ANNEMARIE DURBIN,  
MR GERRY BYRNE,  
MRS LINDSEY ARGALAS”**

27. THAT by specifically offering “SAN B.O.D” the chance to apologise in writing (although the opportunity has always been there for the taking) via a **Notice of First And Final Warning**, dated Wednesday the 16<sup>th</sup> January 2018 received 17/01/2018, in this instant matter, I come to this position with clean hands in equity as having shown good faith and I also suggested a remedy to resolve this issue Santander started in the very first place.

28. THAT for all the purposes of all of the forgoing, all references to “SAN B.O.D shall be construed to refer to individuals who considers their Legal Fiction Name to be

NATHAN BOSTOCK,  
SHRITI VADERA,  
JUAN INCIARTE,  
ANA BOTIN,  
ALAIN DROMER,  
SCOTT WHEWAY,  
CHRIS JONES,  
GENEVIEVE SHORE,  
ED GIERA,  
ANNEMARIE DURBIN,  
GERRY BYRNE,  
LINDSEY ARGALAS.

or any variant thereof, including Names phonetically sounding the same or similar, and who can accept service via .  
SANTANDER UK PLC  
201 Grafton Gate East  
Milton Keynes  
MK9 1AN  
Registered in England No:02294747

29. THAT I, ©Steven: of the family Kirk, the Undersigned Affiant, depose and certify that I have written the foregoing with intent and understanding of purpose, and believe the statements, allegations, demands and contents herein to be true, correct, and complete, commercially reasonable, and just, to the best of my knowledge and belief.
30. THAT when this affidavit is notarized the interest for late payment will be activated to the value of one thousand pounds (£1,000.00) GBP per BILL per day which at present is over 20 BILLS that could increase further.  
This is the interest alone the original bills will still need to be settled otherwise the interest per day will continue to be added. More bills are very lightly to follow and will be added.
31. THAT when the interest has been activated Santander must now write and request a full and final settlement to avoid any further costs to themselves. The longer this current situation goes on the more money Santander will end up having to pay.
32. THAT to ensure payment is made, this lien is from the father to the seventh generation and payment is to be secured through the notary office named herein.
- \* All words herein are as Affiant defines them.

Signed and sealed this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 20\_\_\_\_.

All rights reserved.

By: \_\_\_\_\_ (Affiant)  
©|Steven: of the family Kirk, *in rerum natura*

(Seal)

