

Our Ref: SK-06072018-AR-MB-RS-BS-AOCL

claimant: ©Steven: of the family Kirk

Sworn on this date: _____

Affidavit of Obligation Commercial Lien

Claimant ©Steven: of the family Kirk

In relation to the individuals who accept liability for the Names:

**“ MR ANDREW MICHAEL ROSE,
MR MICHAEL LAURENCE BYNG,
MR RICHARD JAMES SUTOR,”** Respondents who work for the
corporation known as BRISTOW & SUTOR.

From herein known as B.S.B.O.D.

Notice to Principal is Notice to Agent; Notice to Agent is Notice to Principal Applies.

I, ©Steven: of the family Kirk (as commonly called), being the Undersigned, do solemnly swear,
declare, and depose:

1. THAT I am competent to state the matters set forth herein.
2. THAT I have first-hand knowledge of the facts stated herein.
3. THAT all the facts stated herein are true, correct, and certain, admissible as evidence, and if called upon as a witness, I will testify to their veracity.
4. THAT the eternal, unchanged principles of Law are:
 - i. A workman is worthy of his hire. Authorities: Exodus 20:15; Lev. 19:13; Matt. 10:10; Luke 10:7; II Tim. 2:6. Legal maxim: “It is against equity for freemen not to have the free disposal of their own property.
 - ii. All are equal under the law (God’s Law-Moral and Natural Law). Authorities: Exodus 21:23-25; Lev. 24:17-21; Deut. 1:17, 19:21; Matt. 22:36-40; Luke 10:17; Col. 3:25. Legal maxims: “No one is above the law.”; “Commerce, by the law of nations, ought to be common, and not to be converted into a monopoly and the private gain of a few.”
 - iii. In commerce, truth is sovereign. See Exodus 20:16; Psalms 117:2; John 8:32; II Cor. 13:8. Legal maxim: “To lie is to go against the mind.” Oriental proverb: “Of all that is good, sublimity is supreme.”
 - iv. Truth is expressed in the form of an Affidavit. See Lev. 5:4-5; Lev. 6:3-5; Lev. 19:11-13; Num. 30:2; Matt. 5:33; James 5:12.
 - v. A matter must be expressed to be resolved. See Heb. 4:16; Phil. 4:5; Eph. 6:19-21. Legal maxim: “He who fails to assert his rights has none.”
 - vi. An un rebutted affidavit stands as truth in commerce. See 1 Pet. 1:25; Heb. 6:13-15. Legal maxim: “He who does not deny, admits.”
 - vii. An un rebutted affidavit becomes a judgment in commerce. See Heb. 6:16-17. Any proceeding in court, tribunal, or arbitration forum consists of a contest, or “duel,” of commercial affidavits wherein the points remaining un rebutted in the end stand as the truth and the matters to which the judgment of the law is applied.
 - viii. He who leaves the field of battle first (does not respond to Affidavit) loses by default.

See Book of Job; Matt 10:22. Legal maxim: “He who does not repel a wrong when he can occasions it.”

- ix. Sacrifice is the measure of credibility. One who is not damaged, put at risk, or willing to swear an oath on his commercial liability for the truth of his statements and legitimacy of his actions has no basis to assert claims or charges and forfeits all credibility and right to claim authority. See Acts 7, life/death of Stephen. Legal maxim: “He who bears the burden ought also to derive the benefit.”
- x. A lien or claim, under commercial law, can only be satisfied by one of the following actions. See Gen. 2-3; Matt 4; Revelation. Legal maxim: “If the plaintiff does not prove his case , the defendant is absolved.”
- xi. 10.1. A rebuttal Affidavit of Truth, supported by evidence, point-by-point.
10.2. Payment.
10.3. Agreement.
10.4. Resolution by a jury according to the rules of common law.
- xii. THAT on the 05 April 2018 I served **B.S.B.O.D** the following lawful Notices by recorded delivery signed for service number GK9584 6904 9GB:
 - a. Notice of Lawful Conditional Acceptance to which **B.S.B.O.D** had to prove of a signed contract between myself the living breathing flesh and blood sovereign man with a living soul and the corporation known as BRISTOW & SUTOR a 3rd party interloper.
 - b. The actual LAWS my UNALIENABLE RIGHTS
 - c. Notice of Irrevocable Implied Rights Of Access
 - d. A treason and tyranny letter sent to 52 Leicestershire county councillors.
 - e. A photo of your interloper caught trespassing.
 - f. Proof of my intent a copy of my Oath to David Manners one of the Barons that invoked Article 61 of the Magna Carta.
 - g. A offer of a contract from **B.S.B.O.D** unopened addressed to the legal fiction name.
- xiii. THAT the interloper caught Trespassing on the 05 April 2018 was TOLD VIRBALLY that your (BRISTOW & SUTOR) Irrevocable Implied Rights Of Access has immediately been removed. The same Irrevocable Implied Rights Of Access Warning Notice **B.S.B.O.D** were served with, fee schedule clear for all to see, on the 05 April 2018.
- xiv. THAT **B.S.B.O.D** did not rebut any claims or fee schedules that myself the living man made within the lawful Notices that have been served.
- xv. THAT **B.S.B.O.D** continue to address myself as a legal fiction name and not the living man and are therefore committing fraud by wilful neglect of duties and are therefore liable for damages as per their own accord.
- xvi. THAT on the 15 JUNE 2018 I served **B.S.B.O.D** a Notice of Interest and was received by recorded delivery signed for service number: GQ3144 8041 5GB on the 18th JUNE 2018 at 8:03am.
- xvii. THAT enclosed with the Notice of Interest were three (3) Bills as per **B.S.B.O.D** own accord, one Bill valued at five million GBP (£5,000,000.00) for the illegal use of the legal fiction name fraud, one Bill valued at one million GBP (£1,000,000.00) for copyright Infringements and one Bill for trespass valued at nine thousand nine hundred and fifty pounds (£9,950.00).
 - a. INDICTMENT (A)
 - b. BIRTH CERTIFICATE FRAUD; CRSS; CLAUSULA REBUS SIC STANTIBUS
 - c. A PHOTO OF THE INTERLOPER SECOND TIME CAUGHT TRESPASSING
 - d. THE OFFER OF A CONTRACT FROM BRISTOW & SUTOR ADDRESSED TO THE LEGAL FICTION NAME FRAUD UNOPENED
 - d. A NOTICE OF UNDERSTANDING, INTENT AND CLAIM OF RIGHT
 - e. COURTSEY NOTICE.

- xviii. No rebuttal from **B.S.B.O.D** at all.
- xix. THAT **B.S.B.O.D** have had the opportunity to address the situation in a lawful manner but chosen to continue to commit fraud by deception and continue to use the legal name fraud and address myself the living, breathing flesh and blood sovereign man with a living soul as “Mr” and have agreed to pay damages for using it (“Mr”).
- xx. All corporate laws are secondary to common law which can not be change in any way by the state.
- xxi. THAT Commercial processes (including this Affidavit and the required responses to it) ARE NON-JUDICIAL and pre-judicial because:
- a. No judge, court, government or any agencies thereof, or any other third parties whatsoever, can abrogate anyone’s Affidavit of Truth; and
 - b. Only a party affected by an Affidavit can speak and act for himself and is solely responsible for responding with his own Affidavit of Truth, which no one else can do for him.
- xxii. THAT the lawful seizure, collection, and transfer of ownership of money or property must be effected by means of a valid Commercial Lien.
- xxiii. THAT I am not the creation or chattel property of any person or any government agency, corporation, private company whatsoever. I am not under any obligation whatsoever to any governmental agency, state or federal (i.e. union), or any of their self-passed laws, statutes, regulations or policies.
- xxiv. THAT any and all of the various papers, documents, adhesion contracts, or "agreements" I may have signed with any government agency, private company, corporations or entity or any others that might be construed to indicate a conclusion contrary to my herein-below assertions were made, signed by me on the basis of mistake due to lack of full disclosure creating a deliberate lack of full knowledge, a deliberate action of fraud, non-disclosure, concealment of material fact, and misrepresentation. Such action thereby creates a stressful situation of duress and intimidation, vitiating all documents by such action of fraud.
- xxv. THAT it is the sincerest belief and spiritual conviction of this claimant that slavery and peonage are immoral, are violations of the First Precept of Commercial Law (“a workman is worthy of his hire”), that fraud, misrepresentation, nondisclosure, intimidation, deceit, concealment of material fact, lying, and treachery are morally wrong.
- xxvi. THAT I have absolutely no desire whatsoever to be a "client" (slave) of any governmental agency, state or federal (i.e. union), or any of their Principals, or the "United Kingdom," or to incur any debts or obligations to said entities for whatever "benefits" said entities might purpose to provide or seek to provide to this claimant, or be directed by, subject to, or accountable to any parties other than my own conscience and best judgement for the purpose of preserving inviolate my unalienable/inalienable indefeasible rights to life, liberty, freedom and property while engaging in the honourable, productive, and non-harmful activities of my life.
- xxvii. THAT I, ©Steven: of the family Kirk, am the sole and absolute owner of myself, my body, and my estate, and possess unconditional, allodial, sovereign title thereto, and that I abjure, renounce, forsake, and disavow utterly and absolutely now and forever all presumptions of power, authority, or right by any governmental agency, private companies, corporations, its Principals, over the rights, life, liberty, freedom or property of this claimant from whatever source presumed or derived.
- xxviii. THAT I, the claimant, am NOT a Legal Fiction Person (as defined in a Law Dictionary) “MR STEVEN KIRK” as being a Corporate Entity (incorporated or non-incorporated) or some other kind of Partnership, BUT INSTEAD a living breathing, sovereign, flesh and blood man with a living soul, with a distinct Mind that is capable of possessing personal knowledge commonly called ©Steven: (of the family Kirk, when

necessary to distinguish my Clan).

xxxix. THAT **B.S.B.O.D** have not sent any proof that since the Barons invoked Article 61 of the Magna Carta lawfully NO ONE has to lawfully pay taxes when the whole country should be in lawful rebellion until redress.

xxx. THAT I claim **B.S.B.O.D** have continued to Harass and threaten me by menace under duress with all the **letters** including involving 3rd party interlopers to harass and intimidation myself the living man that is totally unlawful.

xxxix. THAT I provided my proof of claim, my evidence, fee schedules which **B.S.B.O.D** entered on their own accord and was billed as per the fee schedule which **B.S.B.O.D** agreed to.

xxxii. THAT I, Steven: of the family Kirk, the Undersigned, herewith and herein demand of "**B.S.B.O.D**" to furnish answers these claims stated herein.

xxxiii. THAT if "**B.S.B.O.D**", believes their actions against me carry the force of Law behind them, let them show the Common Law precedent.

xxxiv. Why did **B.S.B.O.D** not (i) stop referring my person to a legal fiction when I asked politely but with consequences should BRISTOW & SUTOR chose to continue to do so? (ii) **B.S.B.O.D** knew the consequences, why continue?, (iii) What Authority Does **B.S.B.O.D** and their agents/representatives claim to have over me, as no man has the right to tell another man what to do and to keep continually harassing me, threatening me by menace and trying to extort money out of me by menace under duress when I am not liable, Why?

xxxv. You **B.S.B.O.D** have and still are committing a Tort against me, a civil wrong **B.S.B.O.D** you are Tortfeasor's, every man woman and child has a duty of care towards each other and **B.S.B.O.D** are no exception, **B.S.B.O.D** have tried to cause me harm and loss; financially/emotionally/mentally/by public humiliation/defamation With the constant hounding, harassment and bombardment of threats via letters and 3rd party interlopers.

xxxvi. I have repeatedly requested that **B.S.B.O.D** prove I the living man lawfully owed a debt or a tax, not to be referred to a legal entity by the use of a title, Sir/Mr/Mrs/Ms are titles and the use of all caps in the name. Could you explain why **B.S.B.O.D** haven't done either?

xxxvii. THAT all parties who act against this claimant on their alleged basis must produce the Commercial Affidavits of TRUTH, sworn by the claimants to be "true, correct, and complete (certain)," which prove the origin and foundation of their claims and include providing the contract(s) or agreement(s) *with the signature of this claimant thereon* wherein this claimant has knowingly, intentionally, and voluntarily, in full legal and lawful capacity, agreed to waive or surrender rights to "**B.S.B.O.D**" their Principals, or the "United Kingdom" or agreed to become subject to or the slave or property of said entities in any way or in any jurisdiction whatsoever.

xxxviii. In order for a crime to exist, four elements must exist; there must be a defined crime, there must be a victim, and that the victim must have been damaged, and the intent must be established on the part of the accused. Without proof of all four elements, no crime can said to have been committed. In this Affidavit, crimes are defined – namely the unlawful ejection and the lack of Duty of Care, the claimant is the victim, this Affidavit verifies the damages, and the intent is established at the end of the thirty (30) day grace period, if the respondents fail to rebut (respond to) the wrongs they have been a party to as noted herein.

xxxix. NOTICE is hereby given, and demands made, on

i. "**B.S.B.O.D**"

THAT; ALL properties taken unlawfully, removed in violation of commerce, or otherwise converted, sold, or seized by "**B.S.B.O.D**" or other Parties in collusion therewith, be immediately returned IN FULL VALUE (£) PLUS 20% to the original Owner, the Undersigned claimant; OR

ii. All Parties who proceed to act or assist in said actions, against this claimant, ©Steven: of the

- family Kirk, without thorough, verifiable, point-by-point rebuttal of each and every point set forth in this Affidavit shall be immediately charged with criminal fraud, theft, conspiracy of extortion, theft and fraud, and commercial liens shall be placed against all their real and personal properties (defined crimes: criminal conspiracy, robbery, misprision of felony, conspiracy against the rights of peoples, extortion, fraud and false statements, and other such crimes as are related to issues of RACKETEERING plus such Constitutional violations not listed combined and described simply as TREASON); and
- iii. All court costs and legal fees relating to this instant case shall be paid by those who have drawn the Undersigned claimant ©Steven: of the family Kirk into this instant matter.
 - iv. THAT failure to respond as herein required to this claimant, within the herein a prescribed time of thirty (30) days will be deemed by this claimant to invoke the doctrine of acquiescence and admission, to recover, in commerce, for damages, penalties, interest and costs.
 - v. THAT this Commercial Affidavit, Notice and Warning of Commercial Grace, is the ONE AND ONLY such Notice and Warning. If all actions are not abated within thirty (30) days, or if at any time in the future any actions are reinstated, it shall be considered a wilful disregard for this Notice and Warning, and such shall engender the immediate filing of Criminal Complaints (Affidavits of Information) and Commercial Liens (Affidavits of Obligation) against all parties involved.
 - vi. THAT the foundation of Commercial Law, being based on certain eternally just, valid, and moral precepts, has remained unchanged for at least six (6) millennia. Said Commercial Law forms the underpinnings of Western Civilization if not all Nations, Law, and Commerce in the world, is NON-JUDICIAL, and is prior and superior to, the basis of, and cannot be set aside or overruled by, the statutes of any governments, legislatures, quasi-governmental agencies, or courts. It is therefore an inherent obligation on all Authorities, Officials, Governments, Legislatures, Governmental or Quasi-governmental Agencies, Courts, Judges, Attorneys, and all aspects and Agents of all Law Enforcement Agencies to uphold said Commercial Law, without which said entities are violating the just basis of their alleged authority and serving to disintegrate the society they allegedly exist to protect.
 - vii. CONTRACT OF LIABILITY FOR ALLEGATIONS THAT is the Respondent's,
***“MR ANDREW MICHAEL ROSE,
MR MICHAEL LAURENCE BYNG,
MR RICHARD JAMES SUTOR,”***
 - viii. THAT only I, the living man involved against my free will in this instant matter, can determine how much stress, and other disturbance to my life and I have suffered by virtue of being UNLAWFULLY harassed and threatened with malice under duress over a non-existent contract, and consequently only I am in the position of decide and dictate, my desired compensation, being the amount of **EIGHT MILLION POUNDS GBP** per Respondent (**£8,000,000.00 per respondent**) for all combined attempts to trespass on my absolute sovereignty, that being the sum total demanded by this Commercial Lien *on the individuals who accepts liability for the Names; Are as follows:*
***MR ANDREW MICHAEL ROSE,
MR MICHAEL LAURENCE BYNG,
MR RICHARD JAMES SUTOR,***
 - ix. THAT by specifically offering “**B.S.B.O.D**” the chance to apologise in writing (although the opportunity has always been there for the taking) via a **Notice of Interest**, dated 25 May 2018 received on dated 29 May 2018 at 9:27am, in this instant matter, I come to this position with clean hands in equity as having shown good faith.
 - x. THAT for all the purposes of all of the forgoing, all references to “ **B.S.B.O.D** shall be construed to refer to individuals who considers their Legal Fiction Name to be

**ANDREW MICHAEL ROSE,
MICHAEL LAURENCE BYNG,
RICHARD JAMES SUTOR,**

or any variant thereof, including Names phonetically sounding the same or similar, and who can accept service via .

**BRISTOW & SUTOR PLC
BARTLEET ROAD,
WASHFORD,
REDDITCH,
B98 0FL**

Registered in England No:01431688

- xl. THAT I, ©Steven: of the family Kirk, the Undersigned claimant, depose and certify that I have written the foregoing with intent and understanding of purpose, and believe the statements, allegations, demands and contents herein to be true, correct, and complete, commercially reasonable, and just, to the best of my knowledge and belief.
- xli. THAT **B.S.B.O.D** continue to address myself the living man as a legal fiction/corporate entity by sending their offer's of a contract to my private dwelling which is a non-commercial property addressed to "Mr Steven Kirk" therefore agree absolutely to pay the fee schedule.
- xlii. THAT I have billed **B.S.B.O.D** for the use of the legal fiction name to the sum of five million GBP (£5,000,000.00) (which the legal fiction name is fraud hence the fee for damages being high) on the 29 May 2018.
- xliii. THAT I billed **B.S.B.O.D** for copyright infringements to the sum of one million GBP (£1,000,000.00) on the 29 May 2018.
- xliv. THAT I Billed **B.S.B.O.D** for Trespass and have been told on three (3) different occasions and it is now AGGRAVATED TRESPASS for nine thousand nine hundred and fifty GBP (£9,950.00) on the 29 May 2018.
- xlv. THAT I have billed **B.S.B.O.D** for the use of the legal fiction name to the sum of five million GBP (£5,000,000.00) (which the legal fiction name is fraud hence the fee for damages being high) on the 26 JUNE 2018. (enclosed with this Affidavit of Obligation)
- xlvi. THAT I billed **B.S.B.O.D** for copyright infringements to the sum of one million GBP (£1,000,000.00) on the 26 JUNE 2018. (enclosed with this Affidavit of Obligation)
- xlvii. **"Case law for removal of implied Rights, Trespass.**

Davis -v- Lisle; KBD 1936

Two police officers, one in plain clothes and the other in uniform, passed by a lorry causing obstruction in the highway outside a garage. Two men were repairing it. Some minutes later they returned and saw that the lorry had been moved into the garage. They entered the garage to enquire as to the person responsible for the obstruction. When one asked to see the person in charge of the vehicle, the appellant told them to go outside, telling them that they could not be there without a search warrant. One of the police was in the act of producing his warrant card, when the appellant rushed at him and struck him. He was convicted of assaulting and obstructing a police officer in the execution of his duty.

Held: Both convictions were quashed. A permission given to enter private property may be revoked, making the visitor a trespasser. The act of producing his warrant card was an assertion by the officer of a right to remain on the premises, which right he did not have.

Therefore he could not be acting in the execution of his duty.

Goddard J held that although the officers, in entering the premises, were not trespassers, they became so as soon as they were told to leave and claimed a right to stay. From that time they were acting other than in the execution of their duty.

Court: KBD

Date: 01-Jan-1936

Judges: Lord Hewart CJ, Goddard J

References: [1936] 2 KB 434,

Cited By:

**Wayne Fullard, Ryan Roalfe, Regina (on the application of) -v- Woking Magistrates' Court, Admn, Cited, (Bailii, [2005] EWHC 2922 (Admin))
Blench -v- Director of Public Prosecutions, Admin, Cited, (Bailii, [2004] EWHC 2717 (Admin))"**

That to ensure payment is made, this lien is from the father to the seventh generation and payment is to be secured through the notary/solicitor/commissioner of oath's office named herein. Surety for the value of this Notice of Distress is the respondent's public indemnity insurance bond and, if this is insufficient, all of the respondent's personal and private property to the value of this notice.

B.S.B.O.D now have thirty (30) days to rebut or pay up, any points you manage to rebut will be removed from my allegations, and the remainder kept as my final Affidavit. The result will be Notarised (by a Notary Public) or Commission of Oath to become my Statement Truth, which will not only become THE TRUTH, IN LAW – but will also become A JUDGEMENT, IN LAW.

Just so there is no confusion of doubt **ALL CORRESPONDENCES MUST BE ADDRESSED TO MYSELF THE LIVING MAN** and not the legal fiction name fraud otherwise I will not open or reply to any correspondence that does not apply to myself the living man and I will continue to Bill **B.S.B.O.D** for damages as per **B.S.B.O.D** own accord.

All words herein are as claimant defines them.

High Court Rulings on Trespass

"CORPOREAL HEREDITAMENTS."

CORPOREAL = HAVING BODY & SUBSTANCE.

INCORPOREAL = WITHOUT BODY & SUBSTANCE.

I.e.; "[LEGAL]-FICTION"

In Robson v Hallett [1967] 2 QB 939, Lord Parker CJ said (at 951):

"the occupier of any dwelling-house gives implied licence to any member of the public coming on his lawful business to come through the gate, up the steps, and knock on the door of the house."

This implied licence extends to the driveway of a dwelling-house. However, the licence may be withdrawn by giving notice of its withdrawal. A person who enters or remains on property after the withdrawal of the licence is a trespasser."

A sign at your front entrance clearly indicates that you do not give permission unless by invitation (SERVED VIRBALLY AND IN WRITTING and YOUR INTERLOPERS READ the Warning Notice and IGNORE IT) therefore entry is prohibited. Information from QLD has indicated that the police will enter through an open gate regardless of the sign, but cannot open one. Therefore, keep your gates closed. Police have also indicated that they cannot deliver a summons past a proper Trespass sign unless a felony has been committed under the Crimes Act and a warrant issued.

I suggest you honor your own accord or continue the legal fiction name fraud and be sued **B.S.B.O.D** have had a chance to redress the situation but have chosen to ignore absolutely all evidence presented and lawful Notices served. IGNORANCE IS NO DEFENCE and neither is "JUST DOING MY JOB" remember that one, not acceptable in any way. **B.S.B.O.D** have no lawful claim whatsoever and continued to harass myself to which I have stated on many occasion's NO CONTRACT, NO CONSENT and NO JOINDER, just what is difficult to comprehend in that sentence.

Leviticus 26 verse 23-24

And if yea will not be reformed by me by these things but will walk contrary unto me then I will also walk contrary unto you and will punish you seven times for your sins.

In sincerity and honour, without ill-will, prejudice, frivolity, or vexation with clean hands in equity.

Yours sincerely,

By reasonable Accommodation

Crown: DOE (De Facto)_____

Sovereign: John-Henry [CD](De Jure)_____

Private Persons Personal Representative [AB]: Christian_____

To **MR ANDREW MICHAEL ROSE,**
MR MICHAEL LAURENCE BYNG,
MR RICHARD JAMES SUTOR,
BRISTOW & SUTOR
BARTLEET
WASHFORD
REDDITCH
B98 0FL
COMPANY No: 01431688

Yours sincerely,

By:

By: Sovereign ©Steven of the family: Kirk
Authorized Agent and Representative for STEVEN KIRK™
No assured value, No liability. Errors & Omissions Excepted.
All Unalienable Rights Reserved.

WITHOUT RECOURSE – NON-ASSUMPSIT
Calls maybe recorded

Seal	Thumb Print
------	-------------



STEVEN KIRK™

1. ©Steven: of the family Kirk™, certify on my own commercial liability that I have read the above and I have grounds and do believe the above acts were committed contrary to Law and to the best of my knowledge and recollection it is true, correct and complete, and not misleading, the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth.

Signed and sealed this _____ day of _____, 20__.

All rights reserved.

By: _____ (claimant)
©Steven: of the family Kirk™, *in rerum natura*

Acknowledgment

For verification purposes only

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO before me by ©Steven: of the family Kirk, known to me or proven to me to be the real man signing this Affidavit this

_____ day of _____, 20__.

WITNESS my hand and official seal.

NOTARY PUBLIC [Print Name] _____ (Seal/Signature)
SOLICITORS
COMMISSIONER OF OATHS

Sworn at:

End of document.